vinetur

Future of Wine Labeling in US Uncertain Amid Regulatory Debate

TTB seeks public input on proposed nutritional labels for alcoholic beverages, facing industry resistance and mixed consumer opinions.

Friday, March 14, 2025



The future of wine labeling in the United States is uncertain as the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB) seeks public input on a proposed rule for nutritional labeling on alcoholic beverages. This initiative, originally set in motion by Joe Biden's administration, aims to introduce nutritional labels similar to those found on food products. However, the current administration under Donald Trump is focused on reducing regulations, leaving the outcome unclear.

The proposed rule would require wine, cider, and beer producers to conduct lab tests for fat, protein, carbohydrates, and calories for each batch. Notably, the proposal does not include information on residual sugar or additives, which are often of interest to wine enthusiasts. The TTB's consideration of these labels follows a previous decade-long process that ended in 2017 without implementing mandatory nutritional labeling.

If Biden had secured re-election, the implementation of nutritional labels would have been more certain. However, with the current administration's regulatory stance, the future remains unpredictable. While many consumers are interested in calorie content, most calories in alcohol come from the alcohol itself, with some from residual sugar. Wine typically contains less than 4 grams of carbohydrates per glass, while beer has 10-15 grams. In comparison, orange juice contains about 27 grams per serving, lemonade 34 grams, and carbonated sodas 40 grams.

The wine industry is not in favor of mandatory nutritional labeling, preferring the option of providing information through QR codes rather than altering existing label requirements. The Wine Institute argues that wine is not a standardized product, as each vintage varies due to factors like grape varietal, location, and climate. They believe that rigid labeling regulations could disrupt winery operations.

Charles Jefferson, vice president of the Wine Institute, highlighted the challenges of implementing such labels, noting that wine labels are often printed months before bottling, making it difficult to provide accurate nutritional information. He advocates for off-label disclosures via QR codes or electronic means, which would offer consumers up-to-date and comprehensive information without imposing significant operational burdens on producers.

Public comments on the TTB's website reflect a range of opinions. Some industry professionals argue that nutritional labels offer little value to consumers, as the nutritional content of dry wines varies minimally. Others, including a class from the State University of New York, support nutritional labeling, citing the precedent set by food and non-alcoholic beverages.

Consumer opinions are mixed. Some, like Lindsey Cates, express disinterest in counting calories in alcoholic drinks, while others, like Katherine Walcott, argue for transparency and the right to information. Small producers, such as Contrivance Wine & Mead in Auburn, WA, express concern about the potential burden of testing and labeling requirements, especially for small batches and unique flavors.

The TTB will accept public comments until April 17, with no immediate ruling expected. As the debate continues, wine drinkers can rest assured that their beverage of choice remains fat-free, though sugar content remains a topic of interest.