vinetur

Review Finds Heavy Drinking Harms the Liver Regardless of Beer, Wine or Liquor

Authors reviewed 74 studies and said current evidence cannot show that any alcoholic drink is safer for liver health

Friday, November 13, 2026



Heavy drinking appears to harm the liver regardless of whether the alcohol comes from beer, wine or liquor, according to a narrative review of 74 studies published in the journal Nutrients. The review found that the current evidence does not support strong conclusions that one type of alcoholic drink is safer for liver health than another.

The paper examined research that tried to compare liver outcomes across different kinds of alcohol. Its central message was straightforward: high alcohol intake is consistently linked to liver damage, but the available studies are too uneven and too vulnerable to other influences to settle the long-running question of whether beverage type itself changes the risk.

That matters because beer, wine and spirits are often discussed as if they carry different health effects beyond their alcohol content. The review said the evidence base is not strong enough to make firm claims about liver protection or added liver harm tied to a specific drink category alone. In practice, that means public messaging and commercial claims that suggest one beverage is inherently easier on the liver should be treated with caution unless the underlying research carefully accounts for how much alcohol people actually drink and how they drink it.

The liver is the main organ that processes alcohol, and long-term excess intake is a known driver of conditions including fatty liver disease, alcoholic hepatitis, fibrosis and cirrhosis. But researchers have had trouble isolating the role of beverage type because drinking behavior is rarely simple. People who mainly drink wine may differ from beer or liquor drinkers in income, diet, smoking, exercise, body weight and access to health care. They may also drink with meals more often, drink less per occasion, or binge less frequently. Those differences can shape liver outcomes and make a beverage appear more or less harmful than it really is.

According to the review, this is one of the biggest problems in the literature. Many studies do not measure intake in a comparable way across beverage types. Others rely on self-reported alcohol use, which can underestimate consumption. Some studies group former drinkers with nondrinkers, while others do not fully account for obesity, viral hepatitis, diabetes or other conditions that can affect the liver. The result is a body of evidence that is difficult to compare and hard to interpret with confidence.

The authors reviewed studies that reported mixed findings. Some papers suggested that wine drinkers had better liver-related outcomes than people who mainly drank beer or spirits. Others found no meaningful difference once the total amount of alcohol was considered. Still others pointed to worse outcomes with spirits or binge drinking patterns, though those patterns may reflect the way the alcohol is consumed rather than the beverage itself.

That distinction is important. A person who has one glass of wine with dinner a few times a week is not comparable to someone who drinks several shots of liquor in a short period, even if both are labeled by their preferred drink. Researchers have long warned that frequency, pace, dose and binge episodes may matter as much as, or more than, the form of alcohol. The review reinforces that point by arguing that beverage type cannot be evaluated fairly without better control of those factors.

The paper was a narrative review rather than a formal meta-analysis, which means it summarizes and interprets prior research but does not combine results into a single pooled estimate. That approach can provide a broad overview, but it also reflects the limitations of the existing studies. The authors called for more rigorous work, including studies that use standardized measures of alcohol exposure and better account for confounding factors.

The review also underlined a broader public health point. Debates over whether wine is healthier than beer, or whether spirits are uniquely damaging, can distract from the main risk: excessive alcohol use. The evidence is much clearer on the effect of heavy drinking itself than on differences among beverage categories. For clinicians, that suggests counseling patients first on the amount they drink and on patterns such as binge drinking. For consumers, it suggests that switching from one alcoholic drink to another should not be assumed to lower liver risk.

The findings could also affect how the beverage industry talks about health. Producers and marketers have at times benefited from a perception that certain drinks carry special advantages, particularly when tied to lifestyle images or selective health research. But if the evidence cannot cleanly separate beverage type from drinking habits and other traits, those messages may overstate what is known. A more careful

approach would focus on moderation and avoid implying liver benefits that the research has not established.

The review does not say all alcoholic drinks have identical biological effects in every circumstance, and it does not rule out the possibility that future studies could find meaningful differences. It says the current evidence is not good enough to make that call with confidence. Until stronger data are available, the safest conclusion from the literature is that high intake harms the liver across all major alcoholic beverage types, and that claims of a liver advantage for one over another remain unproven.