vinetur

Your beer says something, but it doesn’t give you away: taste, image and the misunderstandings behind every choice

Four common ways of choosing beer show the difference between taste, intention and social impression, without turning the glass into a personality test.

Monday, October 26, 2026



A beer choice can prompt different impressions in observers without, on its own, revealing the personality of the person ordering it. Educational illustration generated with AI.

There is something amusing about trying to size someone up by the beer they order, but it helps not to mistake the game for a diagnosis. A choice may say something about what that person wants in that moment, and it may also trigger ideas in whoever is watching, without revealing who they really are on its own.

Your beer may say something, but not your whole story

Before jumping to conclusions, it helps to separate three different levels. One is personality as measured by questionnaires. Another is the reasons people say they have for choosing a certain beer. And a third, quite separate one, is the impression other people think they get from seeing that choice. Mixing those three levels is the fastest way to turn an everyday observation into a stereotype.

The evidence we have points to caution. A survey of 3,482 Norwegian participants found no significant links between traits measured with the Big Five, a model that describes personality across five dimensions, and how often people drank beer. A sensory study with 109 consumers did find a more specific link: greater sensation seeking was related to a stronger relative preference for one of the beers tested, but only when perceived quinine bitterness, the bitter substance used in the trial, was also high. These are not the same question. Drinking beer often is one thing; preferring a particular sensory profile under specific conditions is another.

So the useful reading is not “your beer reveals who you are”, but something more modest and more interesting: your choice can play a part in how you present yourself, in what you expect to enjoy, and in what other people think they understand about you. That is enough to make the subject feel familiar, even if there is no universal map of people by what is in their glass.

Level	What it describes	What it does not prove
Measured personality	Traits assessed through questionnaires	That a particular beer reveals who someone is
Stated motive	What the person says they are looking for when they choose it	Their whole personality or a fixed intention
Other people’s impression	What someone else thinks they understand when they see the order	That the reading is correct or intended

The usual one: recognising a preference is not the same as knowing a personality

Someone who orders the usual beer is not necessarily defending routine or shutting the door on anything new. They may simply want a familiar experience that fits the moment without much thought. Familiarity also brings a sense of fit: you already know what to expect and in which situations that beer works for you.

That matches studies in which familiar beers were seen as suitable for a wider range of situations. The detail matters: researchers were looking at perceived suitability based on images, not actual purchases or any desire to project an identity. Even so, it is a useful reminder that repeating a beer may say more about comfort or context than about a general lack of curiosity.

If you see someone always choosing the same beer, the fairest question is not “what kind of person are they?”, but “what is this choice solving for them?”. Maybe they want reliability. Maybe they want something that suits the occasion. Maybe they simply like it. None of that makes them a conformist by definition.

The one you haven’t tried yet: curiosity in the glass

At the other end is the person who looks at the list or the fridge as if it were an invitation to discover something. You do not need to imagine an adventurous personality in every part of life to understand that scene. Sometimes novelty itself is part of the pleasure: trying a different flavour, comparing, learning, finding words for what changes from one beer to another.

Research with craft beer consumers in Mexico found exactly those kinds of motives among the people studied: learning, experimenting with flavours and moving away from what is dominant. That helps describe a recognisable possibility; it does not license anyone to label a person a full-time explorer. Choosing an unfamiliar beer may express an interest in discovering something in that moment, not a permanent identity.

It is also worth not overstating what we know about preferences and personality. The sensory study already mentioned linked sensation seeking with a greater relative preference for one of the beers tested, but only when perceived quinine bitterness was high. That is a long way from stock phrases like “people who choose the most intense option are braver”. A new beer can be liquid curiosity, not a character test.

Before calling a new choice “adventurous”

1. Ask what the person wants to discover: flavour, comparison or learning.
2. Separate curiosity in that moment from any supposed stable personality.
3. Remember that the evidence does not justify turning novelty into a character trait.

The craft beer with a story: what interests you and what others read into it

For some people, it is not just about flavour. They also care how the beer was made, who brewed it or what makes it different. That interest can be completely genuine. In the Mexican research, some consumers connected their choice with authenticity, distinctiveness, learning and a wish to set themselves apart from more mass-market drinking habits.

That said, a choice taking part in identity building does not mean it guarantees identity. “Craft” is not a transparent word in every context. Interviews in the UK showed that the term could be understood in ambiguous ways and loaded with meanings that went beyond technique or producer size. Sometimes it describes a method; sometimes an idea of authenticity a consumer wants to identify with; sometimes both.

That still does not justify the opposite caricature: assuming every beer with a backstory is just a pose. An experiment comparing blind evaluations with evaluations made after information about origin and producer type found only minimal effects from those labels on the ratings studied. The useful point cuts both ways: the story may matter socially, but it does not always control the experience, nor does it automatically make the person who values it more authentic, more knowledgeable or more interesting.

Craft can mean two things at once

Real interest in how it was made

It may come from enjoying learning how the beer was brewed.

In some of the consumers studied, it was also linked to distinctiveness and differentiation.

The observer’s social reading

“Craft” does not have a single meaning in every context.

The label or the story does not, by itself, control the whole experience.

Non-alcoholic beer: one choice, many assumptions

If there is one clear example of how a drink can change the way other people look at someone, it is non-alcoholic beer. In an online experiment with 509 German participants, observers rated fictional characters who ordered beer with or without alcohol. The type of beer changed several impressions, and among the traits linked to the non-alcoholic option were ideas such as rationality and discipline.

What matters here is not the adjective, but what kind of thing it is. It is an attribution made by the observer, not a demonstrated quality of the person ordering. That may sound like a small difference, but it changes everything: a choice can trigger a social reading without the person trying to create it, and without that reading being right. Ordering non-alcoholic beer does not let you infer virtue, self-control, health motives, permanent habits or a hidden life story.

That is why it helps to leave room for real motives, which may be many and do not have to be explained. This may be the clearest proof that a beer can influence the image projected without becoming a key to someone’s personality. Sometimes the glass says more about our own prejudices as spectators than about the person holding

it.

If you see a non-alcoholic beer, check this first

- What you think about that person may be your own prejudice.
- The choice can change other people’s impressions without revealing the real motive.
- No one has to explain or justify that order.

Three questions before you put a label on someone

If you want to take the title’s game seriously without sliding into caricature, three questions are enough. First: what exactly does this person like about that beer? Second: how much does the story, image or idea attached to the drink matter to them? Third: how much of my interpretation comes from what I see, and how much comes from my own assumptions?

These questions work better than any quick typology because they accept that the same person may choose the familiar one on one day, the unknown one on another, a craft beer with a story when they want to learn something, and a non-alcoholic one when that option suits them. All of those portraits can coexist. They are not human categories, just different ways of choosing that shift with context.

In the end, beer can play a part in self-presentation and in social interpretation, but it cannot tell you who someone is. It may say something about a taste, an occasion or a possible image. The rest is filled in by us, and that is where both the humour and the error begin.