Professional Technical Report on Food and Wine Pairing

Report Date: June 12, 2025

Executive Summary

This professional technical report addresses the complex and dynamic field of food and wine pairing, with a focus on its scientific foundations and advanced applications. Aimed at professionals in the wine sector—entrepreneurs, winemakers, oenologists, sommeliers, distributors, importers, and exporters—this document explores in depth the sensory analysis of wine and its interaction with food, the various types of pairings, with special attention to affinity and contrast strategies, and the cutting edge of molecular pairing. The evolution of the pairing concept is analyzed, from traditional empirical approaches to methodologies based on scientific evidence, underscoring the importance of understanding interactions at the sensory and chemical levels to optimize the gastronomic experience. The report highlights how technical knowledge of pairing can constitute a strategic tool for differentiation, value creation, and improving competitiveness in the wine industry. The conclusions emphasize the indispensable synergy between scientific rigor and practical expertise, projecting the potential of continuous research and technological innovation to enrich the culture of wine and gastronomy.

Introduction

Pairing, understood as the union or correspondence between food and beverages, has transcended its traditional conception to become a field of study and application of increasing sophistication in the food and wine world.[1] Historically, pairing has been guided by empirical rules, often simplified, such as associating white wines with fish and red wines with meats.[2] However, modern gastronomy and contemporary oenology have driven an evolution towards more precise and sensorially grounded approaches, seeking a balance where wine and food not only coexist but also complement and enhance each other, creating a superior gastronomic experience.[3] This search for perfect harmony has transformed pairing into a discipline that combines art and science.[3]

The strategic relevance of technical pairing for the wine sector is undeniable. For oenologists, understanding the interactions between wine components and food can guide the design of wine profiles that offer greater versatility and gastronomic potential. For sommeliers and restaurant professionals, a deep knowledge of pairing is essential for making recommendations that elevate the diner's experience and optimize the rotation of the wine offering. In the field of marketing and commercialization, technical pairing stands as a powerful differentiation tool, allowing for the communication of the added value of wines and consumer education. For wineries, integrating pairing strategies into their value proposition can open new

avenues for business development and customer loyalty.

The main objective of this report is to present an exhaustive analysis of the technical principles underlying food and wine pairing, integrating consolidated knowledge from sensory analysis, food and wine chemistry, and the most recent advances in the field of molecular pairing. It aims to provide professionals in the wine sector with a robust conceptual framework and practical tools that facilitate informed decision-making and the implementation of innovative and effective pairing strategies.

The report is structured into five main chapters. Chapter 1 establishes the fundamentals of sensory analysis of wine and food, crucial for understanding the bases of interaction. Chapter 2 delves into the fundamental sensory interactions between wine and food components, explaining how their perceptions are mutually modified. Chapter 3 explores the cutting edge of molecular pairing, detailing its scientific bases and practical examples. Chapter 4 describes the most relevant pairing typologies and strategies for the professional, including approaches by affinity, contrast, regional, and seasonal. Finally, Chapter 5 discusses the practical applications of this knowledge in the wine value chain and the future perspectives of this discipline. The report concludes with a synthesis of key advances and a vision for the future of technical pairing.

Chapter 1: Fundamentals of Sensory Analysis in the Context of Technical Pairing

Sensory analysis is a fundamental scientific discipline in the wine and food industry, using human senses to measure, analyze, and interpret the organoleptic characteristics of a product.[6] In the context of technical pairing, a rigorous understanding of the principles and methodologies of sensory analysis for both wine and food is essential to predict and optimize food and wine interactions.

Principles and Methodology of Wine Sensory Analysis

The sensory evaluation of wine aims to determine if it meets established quality criteria and if it is representative of its category and origin, ensuring it is free from unacceptable defects.[6]

- General Conditions for Conducting Sensory Tests:
  The objectivity and reproducibility of sensory analysis critically depend on controlling tasting conditions. The International Organisation of Vine and Wine (OIV) and ISO standards provide detailed guidelines. These include the specific design of the tasting room (according to ISO 8589:2010) to minimize distractions and ensure adequate lighting and temperature, and the use of standardized

utensils, such as the standardized tasting glass (ISO 3591:1977).8 Sensory sessions are preferably held in the morning, when sensory sensitivity is usually higher. Samples must be presented to tasters blindly, identified with codes, and at the optimal temperature for the perception of their characteristics, with minimal information to avoid biasing judgment, but sufficient for a correct evaluation.6 It is crucial to eliminate distracting factors such as noises, foreign odors (perfumes, cleaning products), and environmental colors that may interfere with the evaluation.7

- The Professional Tasting Panel:
  The reliability of sensory analysis lies in the competence of the tasting panel. These should be professionals selected based on their academic qualifications and experience (oenologists, sommeliers, wine educators).6 Training is an ongoing process that includes odor description tests, defect identification using standard solutions, sensory evaluation of different types of tannins, and intensity ordering tests for color, odor, and taste stimuli.8 Candidates must demonstrate a high success rate in these tests.8 Periodic sensory competency tests (e.g., every 5 years) are conducted to maintain the panel's acuity and consistency.6 The technical manager of sensory analysis plays a key role in organizing sessions, recording and analyzing results, and managing data for evaluator control.8
- Methodology of Wine Sensory Evaluation:
  Wine tasting follows a structured protocol that covers different phases:
  1. **Visual Examination:** Clarity, brilliance, color (hue and intensity), and fluidity (legs) are evaluated. Visual alterations such as turbidity (due to yeasts, bacteria, proteins, tannins) or browning (oxidation of polyphenols) are indicative of problems.[8]
  2. **Olfactory Examination:** The intensity, quality, and typicity of aromas are analyzed. Primary (varietal), secondary (fermentative), and tertiary (aging or bouquet) aromas are sought. Possible olfactory defects are identified.
  3. **Gustatory and Tactile Examination:** Basic tastes (sweet, acidic, salty, bitter), structure (body, tannins, alcohol), texture, persistence, and overall balance are evaluated. Attributes such as intensity, finish, and overall quality are rated.[6]
  4. **Overall Harmony:** The coherence and balance among all perceived sensations are assessed, and whether the wine is representative of its category and origin.[6]

A crucial aspect is the use of a standardized **technical vocabulary and sensory descriptors**. This allows for precise and unambiguous communication among professionals. Wine defects, such as cork taint (TCA), reduction aromas (H2S, mercaptans), oxidation (ethanal, sotolon), volatile acidity (acetic acid, ethyl acetate),

or phenolic deviations (4-ethylphenol, 4-ethylguaiacol), are well-researched, documented, and defined, with defect aroma kits available to calibrate tasters.[6]

Sensory Analysis of Foods: Key Components

Parallel to wine analysis, it is essential to understand the key sensory components of foods that will interact in the pairing.

- **Basic Tastes:** The five recognized basic tastes are sweet, salty, acidic, bitter, and **umami**. Each acts as a signal to the organism, indicating the presence of essential nutrients or potentially harmful substances.[9]
    - **Umami:** Discovered in the early 20th century, umami is the characteristic taste of amino acids like glutamate and nucleotides like inosinate and guanylate.[9] It is described as a subtle, savory taste that tends to cover the entire tongue and has notable persistence. Umami promotes salivation, producing a more viscous saliva that facilitates tasting and swallowing.[9] It acts as an indicator of the presence of proteins in food and plays a role in digestion, as umami receptors have been identified not only on the tongue but also in the stomach, triggering digestive processes.[9]
- **Textures and Trigeminal Sensations:** Food textures (fatty, unctuous, creamy, crunchy, mealy, etc.) [5] and trigeminal sensations (those perceived by the trigeminal nerve, such as the spiciness of capsaicin, astringency, the cooling sensation of menthol, or temperature) are determinant in the pairing experience.
- **Food Aromas:** As with wine, food aromas are perceived orthonasally (by smelling directly) and, crucially during mastication, retronasally, where volatile compounds ascend from the oral cavity to the nasal cavity.

The inherent tension between the search for objectivity in sensory analysis and the inevitable subjectivity of the consumer experience is a factor that professionals must skillfully manage. While tasting protocols, panel training, and condition control aim to minimize biases and achieve objective and reproducible wine descriptions [7], the final application of this knowledge in the market, especially in pairing, must consider that acceptance and enjoyment are influenced by cultural, personal, and individual expectation factors.[6] A technically flawless wine may not appeal to all consumers or universally harmonize with a specific dish. Therefore, the professional must be able to interpret objective sensory data and translate it into recommendations that resonate with consumer preferences, without sacrificing technical integrity.

To facilitate precise communication and common understanding among professionals in the sector, the following table summarizes the essential technical vocabulary for wine sensory analysis, including positive descriptors and common defects with their

possible molecular causes.

Table 1: Technical Vocabulary for Wine Sensory Analysis and Common Defects

Sensory Phase	Positive Attribute/Descriptor (Examples)	Common Defect/Alteration	Molecule(s) Involved (if applicable)	Defect Description
Visual	Clear, bright, vivid color (ruby, garnet, straw yellow, golden)	Cloudy appearance	Yeasts, bacteria, proteins, tannins	Lack of clarity, dull appearance.
		Browning (whites and reds)	Oxidation of polyphenols, oxidative casse	Unwanted brown or orange tones, loss of color vivacity.
Olfactory	Fruity aromas (citrus, berries, stone fruit), floral, spicy, balsamic, mineral, empyreumatic (toasted, smoky, coffee)	Excessive vegetal/herbaceous	Methoxypyrazines (e.g., IBMP), C6 compounds (hexanol, hexenol)	Pungent smell of green bell pepper, asparagus, cut grass, tomato leaf.
		Moldy-earthy	Geosmin, 2-methylisoborneol, TCA (trichloroanisole)	Smell of damp earth, mold, raw mushroom, cork (if TCA).
		Acetic/Acescent	Acetic acid, ethyl acetate	Smell of vinegar (acetic), smell of glue, nail polish remover (acescent).
		Reduced	Volatile sulfur compounds (H2S,	Smell of rotten egg, garlic, cooked

			mercaptans, sulfides)	cabbage, onion, burnt rubber, drain.
		Oxidized	Ethanal (acetaldehyde), sotolon	Smell of bruised apple, nuts (walnut), sherry, lack of fruity freshness.
		Animal/Phenolic (Brettanomyces)	4-ethylphenol (4-EP), 4-ethylguaiacol (4-EG)	Smell of leather, stable, horse sweat, pharmaceutical, clove (in excess and negative context).
		Geranium	2-Ethoxyhexa-3, 5-diene	Pungent chemical smell of crushed geranium leaves (result of sorbate degradation).
Gustatory/Tactile	Balance, structure, body, silky/mature tannins, fresh acidity, pleasant persistence, complexity	Excessive bitterness	Green tannins, certain phenolic compounds, oxidation	Unpleasant and persistent bitter sensation, unbalanced.
		Aggressive/drying astringency	Unripe or excessive tannins, low pH	Excessive sensation of dryness and roughness in the mouth, lack of unctuousness.
		Pungent or flat acidity	Excess or lack of organic acids	Unbalanced acidity, either aggressive and

				sharp, or insufficient, resulting in a flabby wine.
		Excessive alcoholic warmth	High unbalanced alcohol content	Burning sensation in the throat, imbalance due to alcohol.
		Short or unpleasant finish	Lack of concentration, imbalance, defects	Sensations do not linger or leave a negative final impression.

Sources: Based on information from.[6]

This table not only standardizes terminology but also links sensory perceptions to their possible chemical or microbiological origins, which is essential for diagnosis and decision-making in both the production and selection of wines for pairings. For example, the identified presence of IBMP, responsible for vegetal notes, may be desirable in a Sauvignon Blanc to pair with a dish containing asparagus, but undesirable in other contexts.

Chapter 2: Fundamental Sensory Interactions between Wine and Food

The pairing experience is defined by the complex network of interactions that occur when the components of wine and food meet in the oral cavity. Understanding how the sensory attributes of one modify the perception of the other is crucial for creating successful harmonies. In general, food is considered to have a more significant impact on how a wine is perceived than vice versa.[10]

Impact of Structural Components of Food on the Organoleptic Perception of Wine

Taste buds adapt to the stimuli present in the mouth, meaning that the perception of sugar, salt, acidity levels, etc., in what is tasted next can be significantly altered.[10] Certain food components have predictable effects on wine perception:

- **Sweetness in food:** Sweet food tends to increase the perception of bitterness, astringency, and acidity in wine, as well as the warming effect of alcohol.

Conversely, it decreases the perception of body, sweetness, and fruitiness in wine.[4] Therefore, when pairing with sweet dishes, it is advisable to select wines that have a sweetness level equal to or greater than that of the dessert, and to avoid very tannic or dry wines, which could seem excessively acidic or bitter.[4]

- **Umami in food:** Umami, present in foods like aged cheeses, meats, mushrooms, or soy sauce, has an effect similar to sweetness on wine: it increases the perception of bitterness, astringency, acidity, and the alcoholic sensation, while reducing the body, sweetness, and fruitiness of the wine.[4] Caution should be exercised when pairing umami-rich foods with wines with high tannin levels or a marked oak influence, as these characteristics can be negatively exacerbated.[4]
- **Acidity in food:** Acidity in a dish (e.g., from vinaigrettes, citrus fruits, or tomatoes) tends to decrease the perception of acidity in the wine, making it seem softer. Simultaneously, it can enhance the sensation of body, sweetness, and fruitiness in the wine.[4] An acidic dish can balance a wine with high acidity, but it is important to be careful with low-acid wines, which might seem flat or insipid in comparison.[4]
- **Salt in food:** Salt has a notably positive effect on many wines, especially those with marked tannins. It increases the perception of the wine's body and decreases the sensation of astringency, bitterness, and acidity.[4] Salt can make tannic wines feel smoother, rounder, and more pleasant in the mouth.[4]
- **Bitterness in food:** Bitter components in food (present in some green leafy vegetables, coffee, or dark chocolate) tend to intensify the perception of bitterness in wine.[10] This can lead to an accumulation of bitter sensations if both the dish and the wine prominently feature this characteristic.
- **Spiciness in food:** Spiciness (capsaicin) is not a taste, but a trigeminal sensation. In interaction with wine, it tends to increase the perception of bitterness, astringency, acidity, and the warming effect of alcohol. On the other hand, it decreases the sensation of body, richness, sweetness, and fruitiness in the wine.[4] To harmonize with spicy dishes, wines with a fruity profile, some residual sweetness, and moderate alcohol content are usually recommended, as alcohol can intensify the sensation of spiciness.[4]
- **Fat in food:** Fatty foods (meats, cheeses, creamy sauces) tend to decrease the perception of acidity in wine. Wines with high acidity are excellent for counteracting the unctuous sensation of fat in the mouth, providing a "cleansing" effect and preparing the palate for the next bite.[4] Furthermore, the proteins and fats in food interact with the wine's tannins, potentially softening their astringency.[11]
- **Intensity of flavors:** A fundamental principle of pairing is that the intensity of the wine should be in line with the intensity of the dish.[3] A light and delicate wine will

be easily overshadowed by a dish with powerful flavors, while a robust and concentrated wine can overwhelm a subtle preparation. If the food flavors are very intense, they can overwhelm the wine's characteristics if it does not possess similar intensity.[4]

Astringency: A Complex Phenomenon

Astringency is a tactile sensation, not a basic taste, commonly described as dryness, roughness, contraction, or puckering in the oral cavity.[13] It is a key sensory characteristic, especially in red wines, and its modulation is fundamental in pairing.

The molecular mechanisms of astringency primarily involve the interaction of wine polyphenols, particularly tannins, with proteins present in saliva, which are rich in the amino acid proline (PRPs - Proline-Rich Proteins).[11] This interaction leads to the formation of tannin-protein complexes that can precipitate or aggregate, altering the lubricating properties of the salivary film coating the oral mucosa. The reduction of this lubrication increases friction between oral surfaces (tongue, palate, cheeks), which is perceived as astringency.[13]

Various factors influence the intensity and quality of perceived astringency. These include tannin concentration, their molecular structure (size, degree of polymerization, type of monomeric units, presence of galloyl groups), and the composition of the wine matrix. Other wine components, such as polysaccharides (from grapes or yeasts, like mannoproteins) and anthocyanins, can modulate the tannin-protein interaction and, therefore, the perception of astringency, often softening it.[13] The perception of astringency also involves the activation of mechanoreceptors and the transmission of the signal through the trigeminal nerve.[13] A characteristic of astringency is its tendency to accumulate with repeated exposures to the astringent stimulus during the same tasting session.[13]

The interaction of wine tannins with lipids (fats) present in food is of particular importance in pairing. Research, such as that conducted at the University of Bordeaux, has shown that lipids can bind to tannins, thereby decreasing their ability to interact with salivary proteins and, consequently, reducing perceived astringency.[11] In that study, it was observed that dietary oils like grapeseed oil reduced or eliminated astringency, while others, such as olive oil, could modify the wine's perception towards a fruitier profile.[11] This phenomenon partly explains why fat-rich foods, like cheeses or red meats, often pair well with tannic red wines.

However, astringency is a "compound perception," and its mechanism is more

complex than a simple tannin-protein precipitation.[13] The presence and concentration of other components in the wine and food matrix can significantly and interconnectedly influence the final sensation. This implies that predicting astringency in a pairing does not follow linear and simple rules but requires a deeper understanding of the detailed composition of both elements. For example, a wine may have a high analytically measurable tannin content, but its perceived astringency might be moderate due to a high concentration of its own polysaccharides, or it might be unexpectedly modulated by specific food components. This level of complexity underscores the need for advanced knowledge to achieve high-precision pairings.

The Crucial Role of Saliva in the Dynamics of Sensory Perception

Saliva plays a multifunctional role in the oral cavity, not only initiating digestion but also acting as a solvent for sapid and aromatic compounds, lubricating food, and protecting oral tissues. Its composition, rich in proteins like the aforementioned PRPs, mucins, and enzymes, makes it a central player in the perception of taste and texture, especially in interaction with wine.

Recent studies have attempted to objectively quantify the interactions between wine, food (such as cheese), and saliva. One research work has proposed an *in vitro* model to simulate tasting and measure optimal pairing (OP).[15] This model is based on two key parameters: the "cleansing effect," which quantifies the decrease in total proteins (from cheese and saliva) by the action of wine, reflecting the wine's ability to "cleanse" the palate; and the "Saliva Precipitation Index (SPI)," which measures the decrease in wine astringency due to the presence of cheese, through the precipitation of salivary proteins.[15] Such approaches represent a significant advance, as they allow transcending the merely qualitative description of pairing by introducing objective metrics. The implication of these studies is profound: "pairing compatibility" profiles for wines and foods could be developed based on these measurable molecular and physiological interactions, offering professionals a more scientific and personalized guide for their recommendations.

The following table summarizes the fundamental interactions between food components and their effect on wine perception, serving as a practical tool for anticipating pairing outcomes.

Table 2: Interaction Matrix: How Food Components Modify Wine Perception

Food Compo	Effect on Wine	Effect on Wine	Effect on Wine	Effect on Wine	Effect on Wine	Effect on Wine	Effect on Wine

nent	Astringency Perception	Bitterness Perception	Acidity Perception	Body/Richness Perception	Sweetness Perception	Fruitiness Perception	Alcohol Perception
Sweetness	Increases (+)	Increases (+)	Increases (+)	Decreases (-)	Decreases (-)	Decreases (-)	Increases (+)
Umami	Increases (+)	Increases (+)	Increases (+)	Decreases (-)	Decreases (-)	Decreases (-)	Increases (+)
Acidity	No significant direct effect / May decrease if wine is very tannic	No significant direct effect	Decreases (-)	Increases (+)	Increases (+)	Increases (+)	No significant direct effect
Salt	Decreases (-)	Decreases (-)	Decreases (-)	Increases (+)	No significant direct effect / May slightly increase	No significant direct effect / May slightly increase	No significant direct effect
Bitterness	May increase if wine is already bitter	Increases (+)	No significant direct effect	No significant direct effect	No significant direct effect	No significant direct effect	No significant direct effect
Spiciness (Capsaicin)	Increases (+)	Increases (+)	Increases (+)	Decreases (-)	Decreases (-)	Decreases (-)	Increases (+)
Fat	Decreases (-) (due to interacti	May decrease if associat	Decreases (-)	May increase perception of	No significant direct	No significant direct	No significant direct

	on with tannins)	ed with tannins		overall richness	effect	effect	effect

Sources: Based on information from.4
Note: Effects may vary depending on the intensity of each component and the specific composition of the wine and food.
This matrix is a fundamental tool for professionals, as it allows them to anticipate how the dominant characteristics of a dish can influence the tasting of a wine. For example, knowing that the sweetness of a dessert will decrease the perception of fruitiness and sweetness in the accompanying wine [10] is critical for selecting a dessert wine with the appropriate sweetness intensity so that it does not taste insipid or acidic.

Chapter 3: Molecular Pairing: The Scientific Vanguard in Food and Wine Harmonization

In recent decades, the field of pairing has undergone a conceptual revolution driven by advances in analytical chemistry and the understanding of the molecular bases of sensory perception. Molecular pairing represents the vanguard of this movement, proposing that harmonies between foods and wines can be predicted and explained through the identification of shared key aromatic compounds.

Scientific Bases of Molecular Pairing

- François Chartier's Hypothesis: "Taste Buds and Molecules":
  Canadian sommelier and gastronome François Chartier is one of the most prominent figures in the popularization and development of molecular pairing. His work, embodied in the influential book "Papilles et Molécules" (Taste Buds and Molecules) 1, proposes an approach based on the "aromatic science of molecular harmonies." The fundamental premise is that foods and wines sharing one or several dominant aromatic compounds tend to complement each other and generate a positive sensory synergy when consumed together.17 This internationally acclaimed book has laid the groundwork for a new way of approaching cooking and creating pairings.17
- The Principle of Aromatic Synergy:
  Molecular pairing is based on the idea that combining ingredients or beverages dominated by one or several volatile molecules of the same chemical family can result in a sensory experience that is greater than the simple sum of its individual parts. This aromatic synergy effect has been popularly described as "1+1=3".18

Not all molecules present in a food or wine contribute equally to the perceived aromatic profile. The relevance of a volatile compound is determined not only by its concentration but also by its olfactory detection threshold and its distinctive character. "Odor Activity Values" (OAVs), which relate a compound's concentration to its perception threshold, are an important tool for identifying aromatically active molecules that truly define a product's sensory profile and are therefore key in the search for molecular harmonies.18 It is crucial to understand that molecular pairing is not about a simple inventory of all shared molecules, but about identifying those that are dominant and perceptible, defining the aromatic character of each element. This often requires not only chemical analyses (such as GC-MS) but also instrumental sensory analyses like gas chromatography-olfactometry (GC-O) to determine the real impact of each compound.

- Advanced Analytical Methodologies:
  The identification and quantification of volatile compounds responsible for aromas in wines and foods are possible thanks to sophisticated analytical techniques. Gas chromatography (GC), frequently coupled with a mass spectrometer (GC-MS), allows for the separation, identification, and quantification of the various volatile molecules present in a sample.2 To determine the sensory relevance of these compounds, gas chromatography-olfactometry (GC-O) is used, where a trained taster smells the effluent from the chromatographic column and records the intensity and description of the odors detected at different retention times, corresponding to specific compounds. These tools are indispensable for constructing the aromatic "fingerprints" of products and searching for the molecular matches that underpin pairing.

Case Studies and Illustrative Examples

The practical application of molecular pairing has been demonstrated in various studies and gastronomic events.

- Chartier World LAB / Excell Ibérica Study (Logroño):
  A notable example is the work carried out in collaboration between Chartier World LAB and the Excell Ibérica laboratory, presented at an event in Logroño.2 In this study, four French wines were chemically analyzed to determine their dominant aromatic profiles. Subsequently, specific tapas were designed for each wine, using ingredients that shared key aromatic molecules with them. The results were as follows 2:
  1. **Wine 1:** Les Terrasses de l'Agly 2021 (Grenache, Mourvèdre) was paired with a **Crispy black pudding with orange peel and rosemary**. Key molecules

identified in the wine and sought in the tapa ingredients included β-Damascenone (rose, cooked apple notes), Limonene (citrus), and Linalool (floral, citrus). Complementary ingredients like lavender, apple, and cumin also share some of these molecular families. The result was a pairing described as citrusy and herbal with a floral impact, balanced and deep.

2. **Wine 2:** Gevrey-Chambertin 2018 (Pinot Noir) was accompanied by a **Lamb taco cooked with clove, licorice, and pepper**. Molecules such as Anethole (anise, licorice), Phenylacetaldehyde (honey, floral), and Vanillin (vanilla) were the link. Ingredients like blackcurrant, celery, and cinnamon were considered. A spicy and aniseed synergy was achieved, warm and elegant, ideal for wines with earthy and undergrowth notes.
3. **Wine 3:** Beaune Bressandes Premier Cru 2017 (Chardonnay) was served with a **Sautéed mushroom bikini with coffee and vanilla butter**. Here, Vanillin, Octanoic Acid (coconut, fatty), and Furfural (almond, caramel) played a central role. Ingredients like coconut, coffee, and pineapple aligned with this profile, resulting in a creamy combination with echoes of pastry and toast, underscoring the wine's aging aromas.
4. **Wine 4:** Champagne Pertois–Moriset Brut (Chardonnay and Pinot Noir) was paired with a **Brie and peach mousse with toasted coconut**. Molecules like γ-Undecalactone (peach, fruity) and 2-Phenylethanol (rose, floral) were key. Tea, apricot, and rose were complementary ingredients, creating a silky, fruity, and floral pairing, in tune with the champagne's freshness and complexity.

- Molecular Study of Cava by François Chartier:
  François Chartier has also led extensive research on Cava, aiming to identify ingredients that are molecularly complementary to this sparkling wine.19 Although specific details of the molecules or ingredients are not provided in the consulted sources, the study has led to the creation of an infinity of recipes from around the world, seeking a precise and scientifically grounded union between Cava and gastronomy.19
- Wine and Cheese Pairing from a Molecular Perspective:
  Molecular interactions are also fundamental in classic pairings like wine and cheese. As discussed in the previous chapter, the interaction between cheese lipids and wine tannins reduces astringency.11 Furthermore, in vitro studies evaluating the "cleansing effect" and "Saliva Precipitation Index" 15 offer a molecular view of how wine and cheese interact with saliva to modulate mouthfeel. A truly holistic molecular pairing approach would consider not only volatile aromatic compounds but also these interactions affecting texture and mouthfeel. For example, a wine and a food might share key aromatic molecules, and simultaneously, the food might contain lipids that soften the wine's tannins,

creating harmony in multiple sensory dimensions.

Practical Considerations and Limitations

Despite its scientific basis, molecular pairing is not an infallible formula. The mere coincidence of aromatic molecules does not automatically guarantee a successful pairing.[2] Other crucial factors influence the final result:

- **Ingredient dosage:** The concentration of shared molecules in each element and the proportion in which they are combined are determinant.
- **Serving temperature:** The temperature of the wine and food affects the volatility of aromatic compounds and, therefore, their perception.
- **Overall sensory context:** Other basic tastes (sweet, acidic, salty, bitter, umami) and textures also interact and can enhance or inhibit aromatic harmony. The perception of an aromatic molecule can change significantly depending on the context in which it is found.[18]
- **Creativity and sensitivity of the professional:** Science provides a "map" or guide, but the skill, experience, and intuition of the chef and sommelier are indispensable for interpreting this information and creating pairings that are not only molecularly coherent but also delicious and balanced.[2] Molecular pairing is a powerful tool that expands creative possibilities but does not replace expert judgment or practical experimentation.

The following table illustrates with concrete examples how molecular pairing is applied, detailing the wines, foods, key aromatic compounds that unite them, and expected sensory results.

Table 3: Practical Cases of Molecular Pairing: Wines, Foods, and Key Aromatic Compounds

Wine (Example)	Food/Tapa	Key Shared/Dominant Aromatic Molecules (Examples)	Additional Complementary Ingredients (Examples)	Expected Sensory Result
Les Terrasses de l'Agly 2021 (Grenache, Mourvèdre)	Crispy black pudding with orange peel and rosemary	β-Damascenone, Limonene, Linalool, α-Ionone, β-Citronellol, Terpineol,	Lavender, apple, cumin, lemongrass	Citrusy, herbal pairing with floral impact, balanced and deep.

		1-Octanol, Phenylacetaldehyde, Hexanal, 2-Nonanal		
Gevrey-Chambertin 2018 (Pinot Noir)	Lamb taco cooked with clove, licorice, and pepper	Anethole, Phenylacetaldehyde, Vanillin, trans-3-Hexen-1-ol, 1-Hexanol, Ethyl isovalerate, Butyric acid, 1-Octanol, ɣ-Nonalactone	Asparagus, celery, cinnamon, cayenne pepper, blackcurrant	Spicy and aniseed synergy, warm and elegant, ideal for earthy and undergrowth wines.
Beaune Bressandes Premier Cru 2017 (Chardonnay)	Sautéed mushroom bikini with coffee and vanilla butter	Vanillin, Octanoic acid, Furfural, 4-Vinylguaiacol, Methylfurfural, 1-Heptanol, 1-Octanol	Palm oil, coconut, tea leaves, cilantro, cassis, pineapple	Creamy combination, with hints of pastry and toast, highlighting aging aromas.
Champagne Pertois–Moriset Brut (Chardonnay, Pinot Noir)	Brie and peach mousse with toasted coconut	γ-Undecalactone, 2-Phenylethanol, d-Decalactone, Octanoic acid	Rose, tea, nectarine, plum, nutmeg, apricot	Silky, fruity, and floral pairing, matching the champagne's freshness and complexity.
Sauvignon Blanc (with pyrazine notes)	Grilled asparagus with goat cheese	Isobutyl-methoxypyrazine (IBMP) and other thiol compounds (grapefruit, passion fruit aromas)	Green bell pepper, tomato, fresh herbs	Vegetal and herbaceous harmony, with citrus freshness complementing the cheese's acidity.
Oak-aged red wine (eugenol, vanillin notes)	Beef stew with cloves and vanilla beans	Eugenol (clove), Vanillin (vanilla), oak lactones (coconut, sweet	Cinnamon, nutmeg, black pepper	Synergy of spicy and sweet notes, with the wine's structure complementing

		wood)		the meat.

Sources: Based on information from [2], and extrapolations based on known aromatic profiles.

This table exemplifies how identifying specific molecules can guide the creation of innovative pairings with a solid scientific basis, offering professionals a tool to explore new gastronomic frontiers.

Chapter 4: Typologies and Pairing Strategies for the Professional

Beyond the molecular approach, there are various typologies and pairing strategies that wine professionals have used and perfected over time. These are based on understanding how the sensory components of wine and food interact, always seeking balance and mutual enhancement of the gastronomic experience.[3]

Pairing by Affinity (Similarity or Complement)

Pairing by affinity, also known as pairing by similarity or complement, is based on connecting similar characteristics between the wine and the dish. The goal is for analogous flavors, aromas, textures, intensity, or even colors to reinforce and enhance each other.[4] The key lies in identifying these similar sensations and providing them with a link that makes them stand out.[20]

- **Principles:**
  - **Shared Aromas:** A dish made with a specific herb, such as rosemary, can harmonize with a wine that presents natural notes of that same herb.[20]
  - **Similar Textures:** A Chardonnay with a creamy texture, often derived from malolactic fermentation or aging on lees, can complement a dish with a butter sauce or an equally unctuous texture, like grilled chicken.[3]
  - **Parallel Intensities:** Wines and dishes of similar intensity tend to work well together. A light wine with a delicate dish, or a robust wine with an intensely flavored preparation.
  - **Congruent Flavors:** Sweet wines often pair well with desserts, creating an affinity of sweetness.[12] Similarly, tannic wines, with their structure and astringency, complement protein- and fat-rich dishes, such as red meats, where tannins interact with meat proteins, mutually softening each other.[12]

Pairing by Contrast

Pairing by contrast seeks balance through the opposition of characteristics,

compensating for the excesses or deficiencies of one with the properties of the other, or uniting dissimilar flavors that, paradoxically, harmonize.[4] The idea is that "opposites attract" to create a new harmony or for each element to stand out due to its difference from the other.[4]

- **Principles:**
  - **Sweet vs. Salty/Spicy/Fatty:** A classic example is pairing blue cheese, which is salty, spicy, and fatty, with a sweet dessert wine like Port or Sauternes. The wine's sweetness contrasts and balances the cheese's intensity.[3]
  - **Acidic vs. Fatty:** The acidity of a wine "cuts through" the fatty sensation of a food, cleansing the palate and providing freshness. For example, a Sauvignon Blanc with fatty fish or a fried dish.[4]
  - **Salty vs. Sweet/Acidic:** Salty dishes can pair well with wines that have some residual sweetness or good acidity, as these elements can balance the saltiness.[22]
  - **Spicy vs. Sweet/Low Alcohol Content:** To counteract the spiciness of a dish, semi-dry or semi-sweet wines with low alcohol content can be chosen, which refresh the palate and establish an interesting balance.[5]

It is fundamental to understand that both pairing by affinity and by contrast pursue the same ultimate goal: an enhanced gastronomic experience where wine and food mutually enhance each other.[3] Contrast does not seek an unpleasant clash, but rather uses opposing elements to achieve balance or to make certain characteristics perceived more clearly. For example, the acidity of a wine (contrast) that cleanses the fat from a dish (balance) makes both elements more enjoyable. Affinity, on the other hand, by enhancing similar characteristics, also leads to a sense of harmony and coherence.

Additional Strategic Approaches

- Regional or Terroir Pairing ("Loci et Cibi"):
  This is one of the most traditional and often intuitively correct principles. It is based on the idea of combining wines and foods that come from the same geographical region.3 The underlying logic, often summarized by the phrase "what grows together, goes together," goes beyond simple romanticism or tradition. It is based on the co-evolution of agricultural products and culinary traditions within the same ecosystem. Grape varieties, animals raised, and plants cultivated in a specific area have adapted to similar climatic, soil, and environmental conditions. Local recipes and cooking techniques have historically developed using these available ingredients. This co-evolution can lead to inherent molecular or structural affinities between the wines and foods of the

region. For example, the acidity profiles of wines may naturally complement those of local fruits or vegetables, or there may be shared aromatic compounds between native herbs and wines made from local varieties. Classic examples include Chianti with Tuscan dishes like pasta with tomato sauce and herbs 3, a Salta Torrontés with Salta empanadas 24, or Comté cheese with a Vin Jaune from Jura.23 While the globalization of food and wine may challenge the strict application of this principle, it remains a valuable and often accurate guide.

- Seasonal Pairing:
  This strategy involves adapting wine and food choices to the season, prioritizing the use of fresh ingredients at their optimal ripeness.3 In summer, lighter, fresher, and more aromatic wines, such as young whites, rosés, and sparkling wines, are preferred to accompany salads, fish, seafood, and less hearty dishes.3 In winter, dishes are usually richer, more caloric, and complex, which harmonizes well with red wines with more body, structure, and aging.3 Specific examples include pairing chiles en nogada, an emblematic dish of the August-October season in Mexico, with a young and fruity Cabernet Sauvignon 26, or choosing a Cabernet Sauvignon Magnum to accompany hearty Christmas dishes.26
- Considerations of Intensity, Body, and Structure:
  As mentioned earlier, the intensity of the wine should be proportional to that of the dish.3 Light wines are served with delicate foods, while wines with body and structure (high acidity, marked tannins, high alcohol content) are reserved for more intense and flavorful dishes. It is important to note that the cooking method and accompaniments can have a greater influence on the intensity and flavor profile of the dish than the base ingredient itself.26 Grilled fish with aromatic herbs may require a different wine than the same fish steamed with a mild sauce.
- **Specific Pairings by Wine Type (Integrating Affinity and Contrast):**
  - **Red Wines:**
    - *Cabernet Sauvignon, Merlot, Syrah (robust):* Ideal with grilled or roasted red meats, lamb, game, stews with rich sauces, and aged cheeses (affinity of intensity and structure; contrast of tannins with fat).[3]
    - *Pinot Noir, Gamay (light to medium-bodied):* Pair well with poultry, pork, mushrooms, fatty fish like salmon, and some soft-paste cheeses (affinity of intensity, aromatic complement).[3]
    - *Malbec, Zinfandel (fruity and spicy):* Excellent with barbecues, roasted meats with barbecue sauces, cured meats, and semi-hard cheeses (flavor affinity, spice complement).[3]
  - **White Wines:**
    - *Chardonnay (oaked):* Seafood with creamy sauces, roasted poultry, pasta with cream, cooked-paste cheeses (affinity of texture and body).[3]

        - *Sauvignon Blanc, Verdejo (dry and aromatic):* Salads with vinaigrette, ceviches, grilled white fish, fresh seafood, dishes with fresh herbs, goat cheese (contrast of acidity with fat, herbaceous aromatic affinity).[3]
        - *Riesling (dry to off-dry):* Spicy or piquant Asian food, sweet and sour dishes, pork with fruit, soft or blue cheeses (if sweet) (contrast of sweetness/acidity with spiciness/fat).[3]
        - *Albariño, Pinot Grigio (light and fresh):* Raw or steamed seafood, delicate white fish, light appetizers (affinity of delicacy).[27]
    - **Rosé Wines:**
        - *Dry and light rosés:* Salads, tapas, Mediterranean dishes, paellas, pizzas, light charcuterie (versatility, intensity affinity).[3]
        - *Sparkling rosés:* Appetizers, seafood, fresh fruit (freshness and versatility).[3]
    - **Sparkling Wines (Champagne, Cava, Prosecco, etc.):**
        - *Brut Nature, Extra Brut, Brut:* Oysters, caviar, raw seafood, salty appetizers, fried foods (acidity and effervescence contrast with fat and cleanse the palate), sushi.[3] Also with soft and creamy cheeses.
        - *Demi-Sec, Sweet:* Not overly sweet desserts, fruits.
    - **Dessert Wines (Sauternes, Tokaji, Eiswein, Port, Sweet Sherry, Muscat, etc.):**
        - *Sweet white wines (Sauternes, Tokaji):* Foie gras (classic contrast of sweetness/acidity with fat/umami), blue cheeses, fruit tarts, creamy desserts.[3]
        - *Fortified red wines (Port Tawny, Vintage):* Chocolates (especially dark), strong and blue cheeses, desserts based on nuts or red fruits.[3]
        - *Muscat, Pedro Ximénez:* Very sweet desserts, ice creams, candied fruits, nougats.[5]

The following table offers a quick guide to recommended pairings, classifying them by wine type and the predominant harmonic principle (affinity or contrast) guiding the choice.

Table 4: Guide to Recommended Pairings by Wine Type and Harmonic Principle (Affinity/Contrast)

Wine Type	Pairing by Affinity (Examples of Dishes/Foods)	Pairing by Contrast (Examples of Dishes/Foods)	Key Sensory Principle of Harmony

Cabernet Sauvignon (Robust Red)	Grilled red meats, lamb stew, aged cheeses (e.g., aged Manchego).	Duck confit (fatty), dishes with umami-rich sauces (e.g., grilled portobello mushrooms).	Intensity, tannic structure, aromatic complexity. Tannins soften with proteins and fats.
Chardonnay (Full-Bodied White, barrel-fermented)	Roasted chicken with cream sauce, pasta carbonara, buttered lobster, mushroom risotto.	Smoked salmon (fatty and salty), certain washed-rind cheeses (intense).	Creamy texture, body, aging notes (vanilla, toast). Acidity (if present) balances fat.
Sauvignon Blanc (Dry and Aromatic White)	Goat cheese and herb salad, green asparagus, white fish ceviche.	Grilled fatty fish (e.g., mackerel), fried seafood.	High acidity, herbaceous and citrus aromas. Acidity "cuts" through fat and enhances freshness.
Riesling (Dry to Off-Dry)	*Dry:* Sweet and sour pork, sushi. *Off-Dry:* Spicy Asian cuisine (e.g., Thai curry), apple tart.	*Dry:* Marinated herring (acidic and fatty). *Off-Dry:* Blue cheese (salty and pungent), foie gras.	Vibrant acidity, aromatic profile (fruity, floral, mineral). Sweetness (if present) balances spice, salt, and intensity.
Dry Rosé (e.g., Provençal)	Niçoise salad, grilled vegetables, Margherita pizza, Mediterranean tapas.	Pickled anchovies (acidic), some lightly spicy cured meats.	Freshness, light fruitiness, versatility. Good acidity to balance moderately fatty or acidic dishes.
Champagne/Cava Brut (Dry Sparkling)	Oysters, caviar, light appetizers (smoked salmon canapés).	Fried foods (tempura, croquettes), potato chips, fried chicken.	High acidity, effervescence, complexity. Bubbles and acidity cleanse the palate of fat and salt.
Port Tawny (Sweet Fortified Wine)	Nut tart, desserts with caramel or dried fruits, assorted	Dark bitter chocolate, liver pâté with fig jam.	Sweetness, oxidative notes (dried fruits, spices), complexity.

	cheese board (including some mild blue cheeses).		Sweetness and alcohol balance bitterness and intensity.

Sources: Based on information from [3] and general sommelier knowledge.

This table serves as a starting point, always remembering that experimentation and consideration of the particularities of each wine and dish are essential to achieve the perfect pairing.

Chapter 5: Practical Applications and Strategic Recommendations for the Wine Sector

Technical knowledge of food and wine pairing is not merely an academic exercise but a strategic tool with concrete applications throughout the entire wine value chain. Its correct implementation can generate significant competitive advantages, enhance the consumer experience, and drive innovation.

Integration of Pairing Knowledge in the Wine Value Chain

- Viticulture and Oenology:
  The conception of a wine can, and in many cases should, consider its future gastronomic role. Viticulturists and oenologists can make informed decisions to design wine profiles that are intrinsically "food-friendly." This involves careful management of parameters such as acidity (fundamental for freshness and palate-cleansing ability), tannic structure (seeking mature and balanced tannins that interact positively with proteins), and aromatic profile (developing complexities that can complement or contrast with a wide range of ingredients). Yeast selection, maceration techniques, barrel use, and blending decisions can be geared towards optimizing the wine's versatility in pairings, considering the molecular and sensory interactions discussed in previous chapters.
- Sommelierie and Restaurants:
  This is the area where technical pairing finds its most direct and visible application. Sommeliers and front-of-house managers are the architects of creating memorable gastronomic experiences through the harmonization of wines and dishes.1 Wine list development must go beyond a simple list of references, offering well-founded pairing suggestions that guide the diner and highlight both the cuisine and the winery. Continuous training of service staff is crucial so they can effectively communicate these recommendations, explaining

the rationale behind a choice and conveying passion for wine and gastronomy. Furthermore, pairing can be used strategically to highlight specific products, such as house wines, lesser-known references, or signature menu items, thereby boosting their rotation and profitability.

- Marketing and Commercialization (Distributors, Importers, Exporters): Technical pairing offers a powerful selling point and a way to communicate a wine's added value. Marketing departments can develop promotional materials (technical sheets, brochures, digital content) that include pairing suggestions, educating the consumer and facilitating their choice. Guided tastings that incorporate food are a very effective experiential marketing tool. For distributors, importers, and exporters, pairing knowledge allows them to position wines in specific gastronomic niches and advise their clients (restaurants, specialty stores) on how to best integrate wines into their offerings. Educating the end consumer about basic pairing principles can foster more active exploration and more informed and enjoyable consumption.3 This holistic approach, where pairing becomes an integral strategy, can be a driver of innovation and competitiveness for the entire industry.

Tools and Methodologies for Experimentation and Validation

Pairing theory, however solid, must be validated in practice. The inherent subjectivity of sensory perception and the infinite variability of wines and ingredients make experimentation indispensable.

- **The Importance of Tasting:** As has been well noted, "the mistake is not trying it."[1] Every proposed combination should be tasted and critically evaluated before being offered to customers.
- **Pairing Diary:** Keeping a detailed record of tested combinations, noting the characteristics of the wine and dish, observed interactions, and sensory impressions, is a very useful practice for learning from experience, identifying patterns, and refining pairing skills over time.[3]
- **Internal Tasting Panels:** Organizing tasting panels with qualified staff (oenologists, sommeliers, chefs) to systematically evaluate new pairings can provide valuable feedback and help make more objective decisions.
- **Interdisciplinary Collaboration:** Dialogue and close collaboration between chefs, sommeliers, and oenologists are fundamental for developing creative and well-founded pairings, where the knowledge of each discipline enriches the final result.

Future Perspectives and Emerging Trends

The field of pairing is constantly evolving, driven by scientific research, technological advances, and changing consumer preferences.

- **Genetic Pairing:** Although still in its nascent phase, the concept of designing menus and pairing recommendations based on the genetic typology of diners has emerged, seeking extreme personalization of the gastronomic experience.[21]
- **Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Pairing:** AI has the potential to revolutionize pairing by analyzing vast databases of molecular profiles of wines and foods, sensory data, and consumer preferences to predict harmonies and generate personalized recommendations. Projects like François Chartier's collaboration with Sony A.I. in gastronomy point in this direction.[19]
- **Continuous Scientific Evolution:** Research in sensory neuroscience, food chemistry, and oenology will continue to unveil new dimensions of how we perceive and interact with flavors and aromas, which will undoubtedly impact culinary and oenological creativity.
- **Sustainability and Pairing:** There is a growing trend towards valuing local, seasonal, and sustainably produced products. Pairing can align with this trend, promoting harmonies that are not only sensorially pleasant but also respectful of the environment and local economies.

The future of pairing seems to be heading towards greater personalization and the use of advanced technologies.[19] While these trends are still emerging, they suggest an evolution beyond general rules or even broadly applied molecular pairing, towards an increasingly individualized and complex data-driven experience. For professionals in the sector, this implies the need to stay updated, open to adopting new tools and approaches, and willing to continue learning and experimenting.

General Conclusions and Future Vision

The study of food and wine pairing has traveled a fascinating path from traditional empiricism to a discipline with solid scientific foundations. This report has sought to distill the key advances in this field, underscoring the importance of rigorous sensory analysis, a deep understanding of the molecular interactions between wine and food, and the strategic application of harmonic principles such as affinity and contrast.

The recapitulation of these advances shows that technical pairing is much more than the simple application of rules; it is an applied science that requires knowledge, precision, and sensitivity. The ability to predict how food components will modify the perception of a wine, or how shared aromatic molecules can create unexpected synergies, gives professionals invaluable tools.

A central message emanating from this analysis is the indispensable synergy between scientific knowledge and practical or artistic skill. Chemistry and sensory analysis provide the "map," but it is the expertise of the oenologist, chef, and sommelier that allows for successful navigation, adapting general principles to the particularities of each product and the context of each experience.[2] Science does not replace creativity but informs and enhances it.

Looking ahead, the potential for research to unveil new dimensions of pairing remains vast. Advances in fields such as neurogastronomy, metabolomics, and artificial intelligence promise to further refine our understanding and ability to create perfect harmonies. The professional sector has a crucial role in adopting, adapting, and disseminating these innovations, not only to improve the quality of food and wine experiences but also to enrich the culture of wine and gastronomy as a whole.

Finally, the call for continuous experimentation and constant learning is reiterated. In the dynamic world of pairing, there are no definitive mistakes, only opportunities for discovery.[3] Every attempt, every combination, even those that do not turn out as expected, contributes to a deeper understanding and the evolution of this art-science. The search for perfect harmony is a continuous journey that enriches both the professional and the consumer.

Bibliographical References and Sources Consulted

- [8] International Organisation of Vine and Wine (OIV). *Review document on the sensory analysis of wine*.
- [29] Jackson, S. Ronald. *Sensory analysis of wines: a manual for professionals*. Editorial Acribia, S.A.
- [1] Campo González, Javier. *The art of pairing: Imperfect reflections on the association between food and drink*. Editorial Círculo Rojo.
- [3] Heredad Linares. *Wine pairing techniques*.
- [4] Lavinia. *Pairings*.
- [20] Cuina Canela Fina. *Pairing*.
- [30] Tu Canal de Salud (Quirónsalud). *Wine with meals and a shot afterwards, pleasure or risk?*.
- [31] La Ciencia del Vino. *A recent study shows that moderate wine consumption can reduce the risk of obesity*.
- [15] Colombo, F., Lizio, R., et al. (2024). *Exploring cheese wine pairing through in vitro interaction with saliva*. Food Chemistry, Vol. 448. (Accessed via PMC NCBI).
- [11] ACS Axial. (2022). *Pairing Up for the Party Season: The Chemistry Behind the Perfect Food and Wine Pairings*.

- [19] D.O. Cava. *Molecular Study by François Chartier*.
- [17] François Chartier Créateur d'Harmonies. *Papilles et Molécules*.
- [6] Ontario Wine Appellation Authority (OWAA). *VQA Ontario Tasting Process*.
- [7] Kallay, M., et al. (2024). *Wine Sensory Panel: Selection, Training, and Influencing Factors*. In: *Protocols for Wine-Making*. Springer.
- [23] Le Cordon Bleu. *Food and wine pairing: 8 tips from a Master Sommelier*.
- [18] Chartier, F., et al. (2023). *Molecular pairing: the science of aromatic harmonies in wine gastronomy*. Enoviticultura nº 83. Excell Ibérica.
- [2] Perfecta Anino. *The art of molecular pairing*.
- [27] Euroinnova Formación. *Wine and food pairing*.
- [5] Pazo Baión. *Basic guide on wine pairing*.
- [12] Vinetur. *The ABC of pairing: Practical guide to choosing the ideal wine for each meal*.
- [22] Emagister. *Pairings & Events*. (PDF Document).
- [10] Bodegas Tres Piedras. *Does food affect the taste of wine?*.
- [9] Umami Information Center. *Umami: The fifth taste*. (PDF Brochure).
- [13] Brandao, E., et al. (2023). *Wine astringency: more than just tannin-protein interactions*. OENO One, 57(1).
- [14] Sáenz-Navajas, M.P., et al. (2021). *Wine astringency: more than just tannin-protein interactions*. Trends in Food Science & Technology, Vol. 118. (Accessed via ResearchGate).
- [32] Ibáñez Moya, F.C., Barcina Angulo, Y. (Coords.). (2000). *Sensory analysis of food: methods and applications*. Springer USA. (Information accessed via Dialnet).
- [33] Wittig Rovira, E. (2001). *Sensory evaluation: A current methodology for food technology*. University of Chile, Faculty of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences. (Accessed via Repositorio Uchile).
- [24] Bodegas Bianchi. *Pairing between wines and meals: a guide to enjoy perfect harmony*.
- [21] Via Vinum. *International Wine Drinking Day: New Pairings to Celebrate*.
- [26] Vinos Wagner. *What to Eat with a Cabernet Red Wine: Ideal Pairings that Defy Convention*.
- [5] Pazo Baión..[5]
- [26] Vinos Wagner..[26]
- [28] Keyfood Supermercado. *Guide to Pairing Wines and Food*.
- [3] Heredad Linares..[3]
- [25] Molino de Alcuneza. *Perfect Pairings: Exclusive Wines and Dishes for an Unforgettable Experience*.
- [3] Heredad Linares..[3]

- [18] Excell Ibérica..[18]
- [11] ACS Axial..[11]
- [17] François Chartier Créateur d'Harmonies..[17]
- [20] Cuina Canela Fina..[20]
- [9] Umami Information Center..[9]
- [32] Dialnet..[32]
- [24] Bodegas Bianchi..[24]

(Note: References to snippets that were inaccessible [16] or were reiterations of content already covered by other primary sources in the context of the writing have been omitted. The final list includes primary sources and summaries that provided substantive information to the report.)